

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,289	09/12/2003	Pierre Roberts	14081 7429	
7590 03/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard W. Goldstein 2071 Clove Road			BROWN, PETER R	
Staten Island, NY 10304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No. Applicant(s)			
	10/661,289	ROBERTS, PIERRE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Peter R. Brown	3636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)		•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3636

In light of the fact that the previous office action failed to address claims 6 and 7, the following action has been changed to correct the shortcomings of the previous communication. All claims in the application have now been addressed.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the pair of straps, as set forth in claim 5, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Van Hamme or Artsvelyan in view of Krimstock et al.

Both Van Hamme (fig. 8) and Artsvelyan (fig. 2) show portable armrests for use with a chair. The portable armrests are releasably secured to the arm of the chair by either opposed block type L-shaped members (Van Hamme) or a pair of straps that may be buckled together (Artsvelyan).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,289

Art Unit: 3636

The patent to Krimstock et al (fig. 3) teaches the use of a flexible one-piece member 30 that is used to releasably mount a tray to an armrest of a chair. The bracket is U-shaped and includes a top portion, lateral side portions, and inwardly extending lips 32, which serve to grip the armrest. In view of this suggestion, to have utilized such a securing means for the portable armrests of Van Hamme and Artsvelyan, would have been an obvious modification to one with ordinary skill in the art, thereby providing a simpler, less costly, and easier to mount arm support. To have utilized a pair of such brackets, for stability purposes, would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art.

In regards to claims 6 and 7, the normal use of the above structure would appear to encompass the method steps as set forth.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Downing and Bollinger.

To have utilized strap means to further attach the armrests of Van Hamme or Artsvelyan to the chair, would have been well within the level of skill in the art, as such is shown to be conventional by both Downing (figs. 1-6) and Bollinger (figs. 2,3), thereby providing further securement for the portable armrest.

Whether one or two straps are utilized is considered a matter of design choice, as both are old and well known means of attachment.

Art Unit: 3636

e ·

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ko Eune, Hendrickson, Wakeland Jr., and Bodine Jr show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2103. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tell-free).

Peter R. Brown

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

prb